## **Microbiology Research Paper Rubric**

Student Name: Class: MIBO Term:

Mentor Name: Date:

| MAJOR ELEI                         | MENTS  |  |   |  |       |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-------|
| Category                           | Meets or exceeds standard 1.6-2.0 points   | Nearly meets standard 1.2-1.5 points   | Below standard<br>0.8-1.1 points  | Well below standard 0-0.7 points   | Score |
| Introduction                       | Engaging, states main topic clearly, summarizes relevant existing knowledge, previews current studies  | States main topic,<br>summarizes most<br>existing knowledge,<br>and previews current<br>studies  | States main topic but does not adequately summarize existing knowledge / preview current studies  | Main topic not clear;<br>poorly summarizes<br>existing knowledge or<br>previews current<br>studies |       |
| Experimental<br>Methods            | All steps clearly described in full detail to enable replication easily  | All steps described in some detail   | Some steps lack significant detail or are omitted   | All steps lack sufficient detail and many steps omitted  |       |
| Results /<br>Figures and<br>Tables | All data clearly described; figures / tables clearly organized with complete legends   | Most data clearly described; figures / tables largely well organized, clear, and complete  | Most data poorly presented; figures / tables poorly organized and incomplete  | Little evidence of data<br>or observations;<br>figures and tables<br>absent or useless             |       |
| Discussion                         | Engaging and addresses the interpretations and problems uncovered from the results; places results in context of existing literature and considers possible future work  | Adequately addresses interpretation of results and their context relative to existing literature; limited consideration of future work | Poorly addresses the interpretation of results, their context relative to existing scientific literature, and / or possible future work | Incomplete and/or unfocused discussion   |       |
| MINOR ELEI                         | •  |  |   |  |       |
| Category                           | Meets or exceeds standard 0.5 points   | Nearly meets standard 0.4 points   | Below standard<br>0.3 points  | Well below standard 0-0.2 points   | Score |
| Title Page                         | Includes all elements with no errors   | Lacks one element  | Lacks some elements   | Lacks most elements  |       |
| Abstract                           | Clearly and concisely states paper's purpose   | States the paper's purpose   | Poorly states the paper's purpose   | Incomplete and unfocused   |       |
| Mechanics                          | No errors in punctuation, spelling, or grammar   | Almost no errors in punctuation, spelling, or grammar  | Many errors in punctuation, spelling, and grammar   | Numerous and distracting errors in punctuation, spelling, and grammar                              |       |
| References                         | References are complete and include at least 10 relevant sources (journal articles, reviews, and books, but excluding internet sites) covering Introduction, Methods, and Discussion, (journals available on-line are not considered internet sites) | References are complete, largely relevant, and appropriate   | References are mostly complete but some may be only marginally relevant or appropriate  | References are incomplete and many are only marginally relevant or appropriate                     |       |

**TOTAL SCORE**